

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/915,436	07/26/2001	Dwip N. Banerjee	AUS920010527US1 7371		
7590 10/19/2004			EXAMINER		
Duke W. Yee			KRAMER, JAMES A		
Carstens, Yee & Cahoon, LLP P.O. Box 802334			ART UNIT _	PAPER NUMBER	
Dallas, TX 75	380		3627		
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/9	15,436	BANERJEE ET	4L. <i>S</i> 1			
		Exar	miner	Art Unit				
			es A. Kramer	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati beriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by ply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. CFR 1.136(a). In on. , a reply within to period will apply statute, cause to	no event, however, may a no he statutory minimum of thirt and will expire SIX (6) MON he application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered tim THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ I	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 July 2004</u> .							
2a)⊠ ¯	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (<u></u>							
Applicatio	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)[] T	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	0.		Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S No(s)/Mail Date			s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT 	「O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/915,436

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Official Notice

Since Applicant(s) did not traverse the Official Notice statements as stated in the previous Office Action (Paper No. 4), the Official Notice statements are taken to be admitted prior art. See MPEP §2144.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kokubu in view of Official Notice (which is admitted Prior Art).

Kokubu teaches a data communications system in which charges to deliver an electronic document over a network are provided prior to the transmission (column 1; lines 23-25). The system includes a charge table in which communication charge information is stored (column 2; lines 10-11).

Kokubu teaches in the packet communication network, the communication charge is determined depending on the amount of information transmitted, transmission speed and transmission distance (column 2; lines 14-17). Kokubu also teaches that the communication charge usually differs depending on a time at which the line is used, therefore the charge table stores communication charge information for respective time frames (column 2; lines 28-31).

Application/Control Number: 09/915,436 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

}

Kokubu also teaches that the communication charge is calculated based on the transmission speed determined by a communication protocol and the transmission distance (column 4; lines 43-47). Examiner notes that a communication protocol represents network characteristics. This is clearly represented by the fact that rates change depending on the time of day (i.e. more expensive during peak times, when congestion is greater on the network). Examine asserts that the only way to develop these protocols is to utilize transmission statistics for the network based on congestion and reliability.

Kokubu does not teach delivery insurance. However, as introduced in the prior office action and now relied upon as admitted prior art, it is old and well known for the post office to offer the option for users to purchase insurance when sending an item. The insurance is based on the value of the item being delivered and guarantees on-time delivery of the items. Insurance is used in order to provide the customer with a sense of security that their item will be delivered on time.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Kokubu to offer the operator insurance after presenting the charge information. In this case since the charges are based on the network characteristics the insurance would also be based on this (i.e. speed and distance) as well as the value of the material being delivered. One of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to combine these references as taught in order to provide the customer with a sense of security that their item will be delivered on time.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/915,436

Art Unit: 3627

Applicant asserts that the combination of Kokubu in view of USPS does not teach identifying a payment amount to insure delivery based on network characteristics of a network. Examiner disagrees and reminds Applicant that the claim limitation is to be given in it's broadest reasonable interpretation (see MPEP 2111). As such Examiner asserts that Kokubu teaches a data communications system in which charges to deliver an electronic document over a network are provided prior to the transmission. In particular these charges are based on the network characteristics of the network. As such it would be obvious, in light of the old and well known practice of the USPS to insure these transmission based on these same characteristics.

Applicacnt further asserts that Kokubu does not teach the payment based on at least a number of times a party to whom insurance is being provided has been paid insurance proceeds for untimely delivery of electronic documents. Examiner notes that this concept while not being new or novel (as evidenced by the Applicant in the Specification, page 19; line 21-26 "For example, in one alternate embodiment, the amount a sender pays for the insurance increases as the number of times the sender is compensated for untimely message deliveries. This is analogous to the way in which automobile insurance works, here drivers who file many claims pay higher premiums.) is considered by the USPS when the insurance rate is determined.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 3627

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Kramer whose telephone number is (703) 305-5241. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8AM - 5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (703) 305-4716. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James A. Kramer Examiner Art Unit 3627

jak

Richard Chilcot Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2660

3631